



REPUBLIC OF MOZAMBIQUE  
PROVINCE OF CABO DELGADO  
DISTRICT GOVERNMENT OF PALMA

**Minutes of Public Consultation Meeting within the scope of the Preparation of the Resettlement  
Plan – Maganja Village**

**THIRD PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

On the eighteenth day of August in the year two thousand and fifteen, in accordance with the provisions set forth in paragraph 3 of art. 23 of Decree 31/2012, dated 8<sup>th</sup> August, which approves the Regulations on the Resettlement Process Resulting from Economic Activities, the Third Consultation Meeting was held with the Community of Maganja Village, Locality of Mute, Administrative Post of Palma Sede, District of Palma, with the following agenda:

- I. Status of the surveys (registration of individuals and asset survey)
- II. Presentation of the entitlement framework (proposed compensation package). \_\_

The meeting was chaired by Mr. Pedro Romão Jemusse, Administrator of Palma District and was attended by the following individuals: \_\_\_\_\_

On behalf of the Government of Palma District: Mr. Abdul Piconês Permanent Secretary; Mr. Amade Omar Mpoto – Head of Locality of Mute; Mr. Carlos Paulo – Representative of the District Services for Economic Activities (SDAE); Mrs. Verónica Pancrácio – Director of District Services for Planning and Infrastructure; and Mr. José Ernesto – Head of Operations of PRM. \_\_

On behalf of the Government of Cabo Delgado Province: Mr. Ramiro Juni Nguiraze – Provincial Director for Mineral Resources and Energy (DIPREME); Mr. Policardo Napica – Provincial Director for Coordination of Environmental Affairs; Mrs. Ivânia Florência – DIPREME; Mr. Victor Cássimo – DIPREME; Mr. Cássimo Nivale – Provincial Directorate for Gender, Children and Social Welfare; Mr. Manuel Daniel – National Institute for the Development of Small Scale Fisheries; Mr. Armando Antonio Buruhane – National Petroleum Institute. \_\_\_\_\_

On behalf of the Central Government: Mr. Arlindo Dgedge – National Director of Territorial Planning and Resettlement; Mrs. Eulália Macome – Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security; Mrs. Mara Bata, Mr. Lázaro Matlava, Mr. Inácio Novela – Ministry of Land, Environment and Rural Development; Mr. Silvano Langa – Ministry of State Administration and Public Service; Mr. Flávio Mulando – Ministry of State Administration and Public Service; Mrs. Abelina Chambule – National Petroleum Institute (INP); Mr. Nélcio Bambo – Ministry of Gender, Children and Social Welfare; Mr. Erudito Boavida Malate, Mr. Arménio Neves da Silva and Mr. Emídio Raúl André – Ministry of the Sea, Inland Waters and Fisheries. \_\_\_\_\_

On behalf of the Project developers, namely: \_\_\_\_\_

Anadarko Moçambique Área 1, Limitada (AMA1) represented by: Mr. Alcido Maússe, Director of Relations with the Government and Social Affairs, Mr. Alexandre Jossias – Environment, Health and Safety Manager, Mr. Sérgio Barros – Resettlement Process Manager; Mrs. Iva Garrido; Mrs. Maria João Hunguana, Mr. Cláudio Foquiço; Mr. Salvador Traquino and Mrs. Alice Madeira - Relations, Mr. Estevão Mabjaia and Consultants Mrs. Gaye Thompson, Mr. Pedro Wate and Mr. Inocêncio Maganha. \_\_\_\_\_

Eni East Africa SpA Moçambique, represented by: Mr. Herculano Vilanculos, Mrs. Carla Pene, Mr. Eurico de Azevedo, and Mr. Constantino Matuta. \_\_\_\_\_

Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos (ENH) represented by: Mr. Julião Nhaquila; Mrs. Neusa Fortes and Mrs. Esperança Macovela. \_\_\_\_\_

Maganja community was represented by Mr. Ali Salimo Amisse - Community Leader and by Mr. Mansur Saide - Chairman of the Community Resettlement Committee. \_\_\_\_\_

The meeting began at 9:20 AM, with the welcome greetings of the Administrator who then invited the District Government representatives, the Provincial Government representatives and the Central Government representatives to introduce themselves. The same procedure was requested to the representatives of companies Anadarko, Eni and ENH. \_\_\_\_\_

After the introductions, the Administrator stressed that the aim of that meeting was to present the results of the consultations on the compensation package, and emphasized that the Government is concerned with the improvement of living conditions of communities. Similarly, he reminded the participants that two rounds of public consultations have been held, and the communities requested the Government that a session be organized to discuss the compensation package. It also asked the participants to follow carefully the whole presentation and declared the *Third Public Consultation Meeting* open. \_\_\_\_\_

After his intervention, the District Administrator gave the floor to the National Director of Territorial Planning and Resettlement, to make a few brief points regarding the Resettlement Plan preparation process. The National Director also said that the Government considers Palma Gas Development Project as strategic for the country's development, particularly for Palma District. He also mentioned that, recently, the Government and Anadarko signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) that outlines the actions to respect the rights of communities that will be affected. Therefore, the role of the Government is to monitor the implementation of the process, to ensure that the rights of communities are safeguarded. He also mentioned the Government's intention that the resettlement process be an example of

good practices at country, region and world levels, hoping that this round of public consultations is participatory, and that issues, ideas and contributions are expressed in order for the outlined goals to be achieved. \_\_\_\_\_

After the intervention, the meeting facilitator and Project Consultant, Mr. Inocêncio Maganha, asked Mr. Sérgio Barros, Resettlement Manager, to proceed with the presentation of the consultation topics. \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sergio Barros, said that the meeting served to present the results of consultations on the proposed package of compensation previously discussed with the committees of the communities. Then he invited Mr. Pedro Wate, Project Consultant, to provide a summary of the issues addressed in previous public consultation rounds. \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Pedro Wate said at the first Public Consultation meeting the topics presented were related to the “Resettlement Process, Project Impact Area and Resettlement Location”. In the second round of Public Consultation, the topics presented were the following: “Design of the Resettlement Place, Concept Housing, Village Designs and Integration of the Host Community”. During his presentation, Mr. Pedro Wate said some issues lacked clarification by the Project. In view of that, a document was drawn up in October 2014, containing answers for unclear issues, which was widely publicized through verbal communication and display in public places, including in *Nkutanos*. \_\_\_\_\_

After the presentation by Mr. Pedro Wate, Mr. Sergio Barros said that the objective of that meeting was to discuss the compensation package and the programs envisaged for the benefit of the communities. He mentioned that the project recognizes that this process affects the lives of local communities. \_\_\_\_\_

He also said that the following topics would be presented in this round of Public Consultations: (i) survey and registration of persons and assets; (ii) compensation entitlement framework; (iii) entitlement framework; (iv) Compensation - alternatives; (v) approach on compensation in accordance with the consultations; (vi) replacement village design; (vii) restoration of livelihoods; (viii) medium and long term community benefits. \_\_\_\_\_

After the presentation, the meeting facilitator invited the participants to submit their questions, comments and / or suggestions for improvement of the proposed compensation. \_\_\_\_\_

The meeting participants expressed their views on the proposed compensation package, as follows: \_\_\_\_\_

1. Mr. Saïde Momade, community member: How will the compensation process be done for plants and land? This is because the *machambas* we use were inherited from our ancestors, so they are family owned, i.e., the compensation for the land itself, should be for family benefit, and the plants for the family member who planted them. \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sergio Barros said that before the compensation, the company's team will come to meet the owners of *machambas* to reconfirm the records with family members, information on their assets and, in this process, each owner of assets, whether plants or *machambas*, will sign an agreement in the presence of Government and Project representatives. \_\_\_\_\_

2. Mr. Mazara Abudo, community member: the compensation for assets referred to is the payment or the support that the Project may provide to owners of assets located within the DUAT area? The compensation amounts will be paid to the affected families via bank or in cash? I ask the asset survey team to inform me of the number of hectares of my plot of land.

Mr. Sergio Barros' response was that the Project team is currently available to explain, based on the register of assets of each person. Therefore, anyone who does not know the number of hectares may consult the project team by presentation of the registration card. Payment via bank is advantageous because if it is a term deposit, it may produce interest. \_

3. Mr. Ibraimo Ali, community member: When was carried out the consultation to establish the compensation rates? If the committee members were informed, why didn't they report to community members? \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sergio Barros answered that the Project teams held consultations with members of the affected communities about the rates. The Project also carried out an evaluation of the compensation paid by other projects in respect to resettlement processes, and based itself on the legislation. Thus, the values proposed resulted from research. However, he stressed, the most important will be the restoration programs and improvement of livelihood and other programs to support communities. \_\_\_\_\_

4. Mr. Issa Abdremane Saïde, community member: Why is it that Eni's asset survey project did not come to Maganja, where there are affected families, and went to Pundanhar, where there are no families affected by the Project? Are the promises made by Anadarko to the community true? \_\_\_\_\_

In response, Mr. Sergio Barros said that those are not activities related to this Project, and we will forward the issue to eni representatives, for further clarification. As to the promises, Anadarko works on the basis of high world-class standard ethical values. Therefore, it will not make false promises to the members of the communities affected by the Project. \_\_\_\_\_

5. Mr. Aruna Kunabasse, community member: I would like the compensation to be paid as pension, thus, on a monthly basis, as it has happened with former combatants and vulnerable groups. \_\_\_\_\_

Mr. Sergio Barros responded that, if the amount of compensation is high, one can deposit in the bank and use it rationally, that is, use little each time. \_\_\_\_\_

6. Mr. Juma Sumail, community member: my concern is whether in fact the company will pay all existing fishermen within the community? The question of consultation of rates will not lead us to consensus, because we are many and each will present his price, so it is best that the Project decides and proceeds with payments. \_\_\_\_\_

In response, Mr. Sergio Barros said that the proposed compensation package provides for the payment of compensation to fishermen who will be affected by the Project. He mentioned that the project was aware that there may be lack of convergence in this process, but even so, the consultation process with the affected persons is always important and, if there were no need, people would have already received their compensation. \_\_\_\_\_

7. Mrs. Fátima Mimbire, representative of the Centre for Public Integrity (CIP): What are the criteria used to define the compensation rates? If indeed there were meetings to announce the rates, it is recommended that evidence be presented, for example, minutes signed on the concerned matter. Was there a data validation process? If so, when was it carried out? \_

In response, Mr. Sergio Barros said that since the beginning of the Project, it was always stressed the need for consultation with the affected communities. With regard to the rates, it was reported that they were subjected to an analysis and evaluation by specialists, and comparison with other projects. Therefore they were considered as generous and acceptable. \_\_\_\_\_

8. Mr. Issufo Tankar, member of Centro Terra Viva (CTV): I would like to thank you for giving me this opportunity, to raise issues about this process. The first issue is the opportunity given, because yesterday, in Senga, after all spoke, it was asked that someone from the company answered some questions, and there was no other session where people could submit additional issues or requested again clarification for the issues raised. At least, here, it was different. I would like to ask the Government and the company to improve this, to allow people to talk, and we all will be satisfied. The second issue has to do with the police. I know and acknowledge that there are personalities who are entitled to protection, but I have participated in many consultations and some attended by ministers and others by Administrators from other districts, and I have never seen policemen. I do not mean that the policemen should not be in these meetings, but they should remain in places where they not disturb the meeting and be called only when needed. The third issue is related to the *machambas*. I heard, and I was pleased to know, that the people affected will be compensated not only in cash but also in the *machambas*, and I wonder if it has been determined and if the area where new *machambas* will be assigned is already known? What will be the size of the *machambas*? Those who have 1 hectare will receive 1 hectare, or will receive less or more hectares? The last issue has to do with the census that was conducted. In past meetings, people informed the census team, that the information contained in the cards they received is different from what is in their machambas, and we did some work a few months ago to assess whether this situation was true or not, and we concluded that it was. There were error situations that happened during the census. There are cases of people who had guava trees, and the card referred that those guava trees were not productive, but we went to see the guava trees, it had guavas. There were situations of people who, in their cards had 12 coconut trees, but who actually have 15. We sent the report of this work to the company and to the Government but, so far we had no response. I would like to understand what the company did and what it will do or intends to do in order

to correct these mistakes? And when will it be done? As it is essential that the compensation be effective. Thank you. \_\_\_\_\_

9. Mrs. Alda Salomão, member of Centro Terra Viva: I would also like to give my contribution to this discussion we are having here today, and bring some suggestions and contributions to this process. Many of us are aware that the process is complex and there are many difficulties, and we are all here to develop this further, and the concern of all is to ensure that the proceedings are conducted in the best possible way. I have some questions to ask that may help to dispel the doubts that still prevail within the people who will be affected by the Project. The first question is directed to the District Administration. We realize that, since the beginning of the Project's activities, people are being moved from their housing areas, we know that there are people who are going to have to leave where they live to another area, and we know that there are people who will stop working in certain areas, there are a lot of movement and activities that will happen around this Project. We also know that this Project is very important, but certainly it not the only Project that will be implemented in this region. We know that the hydrocarbons sector is an important sector for the District, but there are other important sectors too, the activities to be implemented in the District will have to continue. That said, the question is, what is the instrument that the District is using to ensure that all these different interests are included and appropriately treated, starting with the interests of people living in this area? The second question is, the District Administrator must make his decisions, in relation to the land occupation, based on the Land Use Plan. Once there, we have the Land Use Plan that allows us to guide this entire process, not only the resettlement process but the entire planning process of how things should happen in the territory? The law that requires that districts should have the Land Use Plan was approved in 2007 and therefore it seems to me that, in the Palma situation, we lack this essential tool to help guide the district government in making its decisions. Why I am highlighting this instrument, the Land Use Plan? Because the decisions that are being taken pursuant to the Project presence cannot be taken without taking into account the Land Use Plan that should exist in the District. The decision that, for example, Quitupo will be resettled in Senga, or the decision that the *machambas* of Quitupo will be carried out at Mondlane, or the decision that the company will use areas of Maganja may not be taken without considering the provisions of the Land Use Plan. We all remember that we were consulted, because in Mondlane area,

in Maganja area and in Senga area a city is being designed, do you remember that? The question is, how do we know that these decisions are being taken today will not have to be changed again? So my suggestion is the approval and adoption of the Land Use Plan for the Palma District, which is a priority, right now. The Resettlement Plan should only be approved after there is a Land Use Plan, which clearly shows how the Resettlement Plan was integrated in the Land Use Plan. Regarding the specific subject of this consultation, I would like to ask a question and make a suggestion to the National Director of Territorial Planning and Resettlement. I think that we can only finish the discussions about compensation if we have concrete information on what we have to compensate. I have two questions in that regard. The first is: for us to calculate the compensation of the communities in the DUAT Area, we need to know the dimensions of the Project infrastructure, and what is the percentage of the area that these communities will lose in favour of the Project? I do not know if Maganja knows the dimension of Maganja community area, and what percentage of this area Maganja will give to the Project. It is necessary to make the delimitation and determination of the areas and boundaries of the affected villages in Afungi, to better understand what percentage of the household and community area will be lost in favour of the Project, and what they will receive as replacement. I remember that, during the 2<sup>nd</sup> Public Consultation, a lady asked for technical assistance at the time that the community will receive compensation. I am not sure if this was done, I would like to say here that I think it is important to ensure that families have an opportunity to be assisted, not necessarily by CTV, but by other civil society organizations, provided that they are helped to understand the information, and during the negotiation of the agreements, which we heard that will be established. In conclusion, I ask the company to show interest in this, as well as all of us. Our appeal is that it prioritizes the Land Use Plan, the delimitation of community land, the verification and validation of data that has been collected, to enable clarity on what measures should be taken. \_\_\_\_\_

In response, Mr. Sergio Barros said that during this session it was explained that the Government is working with the company to identify replacement areas for agriculture, and the communities will be consulted. Most people cultivate less than one hectare, however, the company proposes to grant 1.5 hectares that the households may use for farming and fallow, as it is typical in the zone. Regarding the asset registration, it is possible that people

have problems in the report (summary) of assets. In this case, the reports will be verified, in order for each family to confirm the assets they own. The household assets will be updated. Tomorrow, they may forward to the committee, the issues related to the measures of the areas. In respect to the delimitations, Fórum Terra will return to perform the community delimitation. \_\_\_\_\_

At the end of the Questions and Answers session, the National Director said the closing remarks and thanked the contributions submitted. He said that this type of interaction is a mutual learning exercise. In relation to the type of compensation payments, he suggested that it should be carried out via bank because it was safer. Regarding the Land Use Plan, he highlighted the relevance of preparing this document, both at the level of districts and municipalities. Regarding the Palma District, he said the Land Use Plan is being prepared. Simultaneously, the Urbanization General Plan of 18000 hectares of Palma is being prepared. He finalised by stating that the challenge is to look at the plans in an integrated manner, which is the Government's concern, that will take place in the near future. Therefore, the Resettlement Plan can proceed without approval of the Land Use Plan. The Territory Planning Act provides that where there are no higher-ranking plans, the plans can be implemented in parallel, as long as environmental concerns are safeguarded. Therefore, they are not mutually exclusive, but there is need for harmonization. \_\_\_\_\_

Ending the meeting, the Administrator thanked the presence and participation of all, and said that, as District Government, we assume and we comply with the recommendations that were presented, and we are open to receive contributions from all those who wish to work seriously for the development of this Project. \_\_\_\_\_

There being no further business to discuss, the consultation ended at 1:40 PM, and the Minutes of the Third Public Consultation on the reporting of the activities undertaken to date, and presentation and consultation on the compensation package for resettlement within the scope of

the Liquefied Natural Gas Development Project in the Rovuma Basin, Mozambique, held in the Maganja village was drafted and will be signed and witnessed by representatives of the Government, the proponents and the community representatives. \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Government of Palma District</b>	
_____	_____
Mr. Pedro Romão Jemusse (Administrator of Palma District)	Mr. Amade Omar Mpoto (Head of Mute Locality)
<b>Government of Cabo Delgado Province</b>	
_____	
Mr. Ramiro Juni Nguiraze (Director - DIPREME)	
<b>Central Government Body</b>	
_____	
Mr. Arlindo Dgedge National Director of Territorial Planning and Resettlement	
<b>Anadarko Moçambique, Área 1, Limitada</b>	
_____	_____

Mr. Alcido Maússe (Director of Relations with the Government and Social Affairs)	Mr. Sérgio Tomás de Barros (Resettlement Process Manager)
<b>ENI East Africa SpA Moçambique</b>	
_____	
Mr. Herculano Vilanculo (Representative of Social Projects)	
<b>Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos (ENH)</b>	
_____	
Mr. Julião Nhaquila (Responsible for Social Projects)	
<b>Community of Maganja</b>	
_____	_____
Mr. Salimo Ali Amisse (Community leader)	Mr. Mansur Saíde (Chairperson of the Community Resettlement Committee)
_____	_____
Mr. Mussa Sitambul (Vice Chairperson of the Community)	Mr. Salimo Mahando (Secretary of the Community Resettlement

Resettlement Committee)	Committee)
<hr/> <p>Mr. Salimo Ali (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	<hr/> <p>Mr. Momade Assane (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>
<hr/> <p>Mr. Saïde Sumail (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	<hr/> <p>Mr. Assumane Bacar (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>
<hr/> <p>Mr. Omar Aliasse (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	<hr/> <p>Mr. Nhamo Saïde (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>
<hr/> <p>Mr. Zainabo Issa Salimo (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	<hr/> <p>Mr. Mbaruco Mwinde (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>

<hr/> <p>Mrs. Fátima Baiada (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	<hr/> <p>Mr. Fatu Bonomar (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>
<hr/> <p>Mr. Hajy Ali (Member of the Community Resettlement Committee)</p>	